

# ESTIMATION OF ANNUAL EFFECTIVE RADIATION DOSE AND CANCER RISK DUE TO TEA CONSUMPTION

B.S. GOSHU, N.C. ASHEBIR

<https://www.doi.org/10.59277/RJB.2024.2.02>

Department of Physics, Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia, e-mail: belaysitotaw@gmail.com

*Abstract.* The current study aims to assess the radioactive health risks and their levels. Fresh tea leaves were plucked from different locations in the Gumero tea farmland in Ilubabour zone, Ethiopia. High-resolution gamma-ray spectroscopy was used to measure the activity concentrations of artificial and natural radionuclides (e.g.,  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{238}\text{U}$ ) in the samples. Radiological indicators such as committed dose rate ( $D_R$ ) and excess lifetime cancer risk,  $LCR$  (i.e., the cancer death risk due to lifetime exposure to carcinogens, ignoring the contribution of natural background risk) were evaluated to ascertain the radioactive risk to humans in the tea samples.  $D_R$  and  $LCR$ , two radiological markers, were assessed to determine the radioactive risk to humans in the tea samples. The finding shows that the radiological hazards assessment of  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  revealed that the Gumero tea leaves have natural radioactivity levels within the internationally recommended limit, while that of  $^{40}\text{K}$  was higher than the limit. Comparing the current study with other studies, it was found that the yearly effective doses and nuclide radioactivity concentrations in tea leaves were similar.

*Key words:* Risk, lifetime, cancer, hazard, dose, tea.

## INTRODUCTION

In our world, tea is one of the most popular drinks. About 75 % of the estimated 2.5 million metric tons of dry tea produced annually are common black teas [21]. Tea is a beverage prepared from leaves. Hot or cold tea continues to be the most widely consumed drink in the world. Scientific investigations on the chemical structure of tea and leaves have been carried out from different angles, including environmental, toxicological, and therapeutic ones.

Asia produces 90 % of the world's tea, which is grown in more than 40 countries. However, little is known about the environmental effects of heavy metals on tea plantations or how the plants themselves absorb them. Depending on the kind

---

Received: January 2024;  
in final form January 2024.

(black or green) and the tea's geological origin, the metallic content of tea leaves often varies [2].

Human activity is the source of artificial radionuclides, regularly in agriculture, medicine, research, and other closely connected mining industries [10]. Radiation is released by the production of synthetic radionuclides by the decay of naturally occurring radionuclides. It has been demonstrated that these nuclides could cause cancer in living beings. The known additional aspect to take into account is their radioactive concentration in food [10]

”Background radiation” refers to radiation originating from both artificial and natural radiation. The variance in background radiation levels is determined by the concentration of radioactive elements in the rock and surrounding soil.

Radiation exposure may present long-term health risks, such as an increased risk of cancer, depending on its actual level [10, 20, 25]. The public is becoming increasingly concerned about the cumulative radiation exposure by tea drinking, especially for children. They are very likely to experience health problems later in adult life. A chronic radiation dose can fall into one of two categories from the outside or the inside [32].

Radiation contamination of food and soil can be used to assess radiation exposure levels both within and outside the body, according to [28]. Radioactivity activity, for example, can be used to calculate the quantity of radiation internally and externally inhaled. The amount of radiation ingested internally can be ascertained from the meal [26].

Because of the soil and tea leaves at the farm, previous research that assessed the increased lifetime cancer risk connected with the tea leaves found no evidence of harm to the locals' health from ingesting Gumero tea [4]. The other radiological hazard metrics for soil samples were lower than what was suggested by world average values. However, the mean value of the absorbed dose rate in the soil sample is somewhat higher than the globally acceptable value [4].

Researchers who studied tea leaves over the past few years have documented the discovery of radioactive different brands [14, 15, 18, 32]. Measurements from *in situ* gamma-ray spectrometry were used in this investigation to calculate the increased lifetime cancer risk. Thus, appraising the additional lifetime cancer risk in children, teenagers, and adults is the goal of this effort.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

### STUDY AREA

The Gumero tea farm, positioned in the Ilubabour district in Ethiopia's southwest, some 637 kilometers from Addis Ababa, served as the study's site. At the

moment, 860 hectares make up the farm. The coordinates of the tea farm are 8.14° latitude and 35.52° longitude [4, 23, 27].

#### DATA COLLECTION

Ten tea leaf samples were taken from the farm at six separate points, and the sample codes L1–L10 were used to identify each sample. They were then left to dry in the outdoors for around four days. The dried ingredients have been ground into powder. All of the tea leaf samples were carefully placed into the Marineli beakers. The aperture of the containers was sealed with insulating tape to avoid contamination from the air. The tea samples were stored for 45 days at the original location in the Laboratory of Environmental Analysis and Computational Simulation (LAASC) monitoring the radionuclide activities to meet the secular radioactive equilibrium criteria.

Gamma-ray spectrometry measurements and the computation of the increased lifetime cancer risk due to radioactive materials were conducted in Dire Dawa [1, 4, 6].  $^{238}\text{U}$  and its offspring radionuclides reach a radiological equilibrium as a result of the naturally occurring radioactivity in tea leaves.

Finally, the Ethiopian Radiation Protection Authority's radiation detection laboratory assessed the specific activity of the radionuclides [1, 5, 6].

#### DOSE ESTIMATION

The specific concentration ( $\text{Bq kg}^{-1}$ ) could be used to calculate the compromised effective dose ( $\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ ) and the yearly effective dose rate. It makes use of the intake ( $D_i$ ) transfer factor proposed by [11], whose values were adjusted for these purposes. The effective dose for each tea sample was investigated using the equation:

$$D_R = D_i \times T_i \times A_i \quad (1)$$

where  $D_R$  according to [10], is the dose coefficient and  $D_i$  ( $\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ ) is the yearly effective dose ( $\mu\text{Sv y}^{-1}$ ).  $A_i$  is the specific radionuclide concentration in the tea ( $\text{Bq kg}^{-1}$ ), and  $T_i$  is the amount of tea ingested in a year (kg). Ethiopians consumed, in 2012, 20 g of sugar a day per adult according to data from the Central Statistics Agency (CSA) and the World Food Program (WFP) [3].

Table 1

The dose coefficients according to [9]

Radionuclide	Dose coefficient ( $D_f$ ) ( $\text{nSv Bq}^{-1}$ )
$^{238}\text{U}(^{226}\text{Ra})$	280.0
$^{232}\text{Th}$	690.0
$^{40}\text{K}$	6.2

It was considered conceivable that, throughout the infusion process, all radionuclides might be transmitted to tea. These statistics aid in the comprehension and application of the annual dose calculation.

The effective dose rate mentioned in Eq.2 can be written in the following for

$$D_R = 0.461A_U + 0.623A_{Th} + 0.0414A_K \quad (2)$$

where the coefficients: 0.461, 0.623, and 0.0414 (nGy h<sup>-1</sup>)/(Bq kg<sup>-1</sup>) are the conversion factors for <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K, respectively.

The external and internal hazard indexes were calculated to make sure that radiation exposure due to <sup>238</sup>U, <sup>232</sup>Th, and <sup>40</sup>K in the analyzed samples was within the allowable dose equivalent of 1 mSv y<sup>-1</sup> [4, 8, 19]. Eq.3 is used to determine the external hazard index,  $H_{ex}$ :

$$H_{ex} = \frac{A_U}{370} + \frac{A_{Th}}{259} + \frac{A_K}{4810} \leq 1 \quad (3)$$

Annual effective dose ( $AEDE$  [mSv y<sup>-1</sup>]) was computed to estimate the health effects of the absorbed dose, which was calculated by using the Eq. (4).

$$AEDE = D_R \left( \frac{nGy}{h} \right) \times 8760 \left( \frac{h}{y} \right) \times 0.2 \times 0.7 \left( \frac{Sv}{Gy} \right) \times 10^{-6} \quad (4)$$

A study evaluated the cancer risk associated with tea consumption because longer life spans are associated with higher radiation exposure levels. The US Environmental Protection Agency suggested a method for calculating lifetime cancer risk is given by Eq. 5, according to [30].

$$LCR = AEDE \times A \times CR \quad (5)$$

where  $LCR$  stands for lifetime cancer risk, annual radionuclide intake (Bq),  $A$  is an average lifetime (68.29 years old in Ethiopia), and  $CR$  is the cancer risk coefficient (Bq<sup>-1</sup>), in that order. According to statistics [33], the cancer risk coefficient for <sup>226</sup>Ra is  $9.56 \times 10^{-9}$  (Sv<sup>-1</sup>)  $2.45 \times 10^{-9}$  (Sv<sup>-1</sup>) for <sup>232</sup>Th, and  $5.89 \times 10^{-10}$  (Sv<sup>-1</sup>) for <sup>40</sup>K. Cancer risks are categorized as minimal below  $10^{-6}$  and severe enough above  $10^{-4}$ .

By combining Eqs. (3)–(5), we can express the  $LCR$  by Eq. (6) as:

$$LCR = 0.14 \times H_{ex} \times A \times 10^{-6} \quad (6)$$

where  $A$  is the average lifespan of the humans.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### RESULTS

The radionuclides in the tea leaf sample were the source of the radioactive background radiation in Ilubabour, Ethiopia. Many naturally occurring radionuclides extracted from farming soil are present in tea. The particular activity of  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{40}\text{K}$ , annual effective inhalation doses, and excess lifetime cancer of the tea are all determined by this work

The average values of natural radionuclide activity concentrations of  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{238}\text{U}$ , in tea from Gumero farms are displayed in Table 2 and Figures 1 and 2. Table 2 shows the specific activity of the radioactive elements that were collected in the research region together with the values of the radiological risk factors that were computed using these values, including the minimum, maximum, average, and standard deviation. The specific activity of  $^{238}\text{U}$  ranged from  $1.1 \pm 0.2$  to  $12.45 \pm 0.2 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$  with an average of  $5.22 \pm 3.26 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ . Similarly, the specific activity of  $^{232}\text{Th}$  ranged from  $3.7 \pm 0.8$  to  $23.54 \pm 0.15 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$  with an average of  $8.29 \pm 6.13 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ . On the other hand, the specific activity of  $^{40}\text{K}$  ranged from  $454.6 \pm 1.0$  to  $664.1 \pm 1.2 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$  with an average of  $552.47 \pm 78.65 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ .

Table 2

The specific activity of tea leaves from Gumero farm  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$

Sample code	Specific activities [ $\text{Bq kg}^{-1}$ ]		
	$^{238}\text{U}$	$^{232}\text{Th}$	$^{40}\text{K}$
L1	$5.40 \pm 0.30$	$6.8 \pm 1.30$	$664.10 \pm 1.20$
L2	$1.60 \pm 0.30$	$5.70 \pm 0.90$	$533.60 \pm 3.10$
L3	$5.60 \pm 0.10$	$3.7 \pm 0.80$	$459.70 \pm 2.70$
L4	$1.90 \pm 0.10$	$3.80 \pm 0.80$	$457.50 \pm 20.50$
L5	$5.20 \pm 0.20$	$4.60 \pm 1.00$	$454.60 \pm 1.00$
L6	$1.10 \pm 0.20$	$3.70 \pm 0.80$	$530.80 \pm 30.15$
L7	$4.70 \pm 0.10$	$8.76 \pm 1.30$	$567.87 \pm 14.50$
L8	$7.50 \pm 0.20$	$12.54 \pm 0.60$	$623.45 \pm 3.50$
L9	$6.75 \pm 0.10$	$9.76 \pm 0.90$	$587.34 \pm 4.50$
L10	$12.45 \pm 0.20$	$23.54 \pm 1.50$	$645.70 \pm 12.50$
Min	$1.10 \pm 0.20$	$3.70 \pm 0.80$	$454.60 \pm 1.00$
Max	$12.45 \pm 0.20$	$23.54 \pm 1.50$	$664.10 \pm 1.20$
Average	5.22	8.29	552.47
Std.	3.26	6.13	78.65

Figure 1 displays the particular activity of  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  in each sample. It demonstrates that L10 had the highest value. Similarly, Figure 2 displays the precise tasks completed by  $^{40}\text{K}$  in each location. Sample L1 had the highest specific activity of  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{238}\text{U}$ .




Fig. 1. Specific activities of  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{232}\text{Th}$  of tea leaves collected from Gumero farm.




Fig. 2. The specific activity of  $^{40}\text{K}$  radionuclides of tea leaves.

Figures 3 and 4 display relationships between specific activities of  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$ . Figure 3 shows the linear interactions and empirical correlations between  $A_{Th}$  and  $A_U$ . The linear connection is  $A_{Th} = 1.61A_U - 0.115$ , with an RMSE of 0.78.




Fig. 3. The specific activity of  $^{232}\text{Th}$  vs.  $^{238}\text{U}$  whose relation is given by  $A_{Th} = 1.61A_U - 0.115$  with root mean square error (RMSE) 0.78.

The empirical correlations and linear relationships between  $^{238}\text{U}$  and  $^{40}\text{K}$  are shown in Figure 4 and are as follows:  $^{40}\text{K}$  has an RMSE of 0.32 and  $A_{Th} = 13.23 A_U + 483.41$ . There are linear correlations between  $A_U$  and  $A_{Th}$ , with a high correlation coefficient, according to scattering data from Gumero farmlands. On the other hand, it is evident from the coefficient association the data in  $A_U / A_{Th}$  connections are better distributed along the line. Based on the correlation coefficient and the lessened data dispersion around the line, it can be concluded that the radioactive elements are more linear than the in  $A_U / A_{Th}$ .




Fig. 4. The specific activity of  $^{40}\text{K}$  vs.  $^{238}\text{U}$  whose relation is given by  $A_K = 13.23 A_U + 483.41$ , and  $r^2 = 0.32$ . It was considered that 1 L of liquid tea has a mass of 1 kg.

The correlations between the specific activity and the lifetime cancer are depicted in Figures 5 and 6. Figure 5 shows the linear relations between  $A_U$  and  $A_{Th}$ , whereas the relationships between radioactive elements and the excess lifetime

cancer risk are given by  $LCR_{Th} = 0.316 LCR_U - 0.344$  is the linear equation that describes their relationship. Equation  $LCR_K = 0.0018 LCR_U + 0.0083$  illustrates the correlations between potassium and uranium shown in Figure 6. Furthermore, the correlation coefficient between increased lifetime cancer risk, potassium, and thorium was found to be 0.53 and 0.51, respectively, using the root mean square (RMS) method.




Fig. 5. Excess lifetime cancer of adults due to  $^{232}\text{Th}$  vs. that of  $^{238}\text{U}$  whose relation is given by  $LCR_{Th} = 0.316 LCR_U - 0.344$  and  $r^2 = 0.51$  of tea leaves from Gumero farm for adults.




Fig. 6. Excess lifetime cancer of adults due to  $^{40}\text{K}$  vs that of  $^{238}\text{U}$ . whose relation is given by  $LCR_K = 0.0018 LCR_U + 0.0083$  and  $r^2 = 0.53$  of tea leaves from Gumero farm for adults.



Table 3 displays the cancer risk associated with radionuclides  $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{238}\text{U}$ . Using Eq. 6, its parameter was computed. This parameter illustrates the impact of the radiation risk parameter on human health. According to [12, 13], the following factors were taken into account to determine the minimum/maximum and average lifetime cancer risk estimates for Gumero tea leaves: The range of  $LCR$  was 2.46 to 1.109 with an average of  $1.553 \times 10^{-6}$  for 70 years adults; 0.161 to 0.362 with an average of  $0.225 \times 10^{-6}$  for 45 years adults; 0.290 to 0.652 with an average of  $0.406 \times 10^{-6}$  for 18 years young people; and 0.724 to 1.630 with an average of  $1.014 \times 10^{-6}$  for 10 years children.

Table 3

The lifetime cancer risk ( $LCR$ ) due to  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$  from tea leaves collected from Gumero farmland using Eq. 6

Sample code	Lifetime cancer risk ( $10^{-6}$ ) based on ages			
	Life expectancy (70)	Adults (45)	Youth (18)	Children (10)
L1	1.726	0.250	0.451	1.127
L2	1.324	0.192	0.346	0.865
L3	1.206	0.175	0.315	0.787
L4	1.109	0.161	0.290	0.724
L5	1.219	0.177	0.318	0.796
L6	1.231	0.179	0.322	0.804
L7	1.588	0.230	0.415	1.037
L8	1.913	0.278	0.500	1.249
L9	1.717	0.249	0.449	1.122
L10	2.496	0.362	0.652	1.630
Min	2.496	0.362	0.652	1.630
Max	1.109	0.161	0.290	0.724
Average	1.553	0.225	0.406	1.014
Std.	0.430	0.062	0.112	0.281

The increased lifetime cancer risk for each age group is shown in Figure 7. The findings indicate that adults are more likely to be exposed to cancer risk than the other groups. Although their bodies are not as strong as those in the other groups, children in the young category have a slightly lower cancer exposure rate.




Fig. 7. The lifetime cancer risk of tea leaves from Gumero tea farms.

#### DISCUSSIONS

The specific activities of  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$  levels were reported in other studies, especially when compared with studies from Bangladesh, Egypt, Iran, Indonesia, and Saudi (Table 4). In tea samples, the activity of  $^{238}\text{U}$  ranged from  $1.8 \pm 0.6$  to  $4.3 \pm 1.0 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ , and the mean activity was  $3.1 \pm 0.7 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$ . In Egypt, the activity was lower than in this study. Similarly, the specific activity of  $^{232}\text{Th}$  was lower than in this study, whereas the specific activity of  $^{40}\text{K}$  was higher than in Egypt [9]. In addition, the activity concentration measurements of  $^{40}\text{K}$  surpass the international limit threshold, of  $400 \text{ Bq kg}^{-1}$  [7, 8]. The ability of these plants to absorb potassium and other elements from the soil may explain their higher potassium activity. The observed activity concentrations of  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$  found in tea samples varied in Bangladesh being lower than the values shown in Table 3 [16, 17]. The present finding of radionuclide-specific activities is moderate and below the limits of the international as shown in Table 4 [29].

It is noted that the average excess lifetime cancer for ten-year-old children was lower than for adults shown in Table 3. Excess lifetime cancer due to  $^{238}\text{U}$  leave sample L1 was higher than the other samples. But it was lower than the international limits [33].

Table 3 shows the mean excess cancer lifetime risk that defines the probability that people who consumed tea in their lifetime can develop cancer. The result shown in Figure 7 indicates that the average lifetime cancer risk due to  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$  for adults were  $1.841 \times 10^{-5}$ ,  $2.39 \times 10^{-6}$ , and  $1.2 \times 10^{-6}$  for adults whose life

expectancy was 70 years old. Their values are lower than the world average *LCR* safe limit of  $0.344 \times 10^{-3}$  [8].

Table 4

Comparison of specific activities of green tea leaves plucked from Gumero tea farmlands

County	Specific Activity [ $\text{Bq}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ ]			References
	$^{238}\text{U}$	$^{232}\text{Th}$	$^{40}\text{K}$	
Egypt	$3.1 \pm 0.7$	$4.8 \pm 0.8$	$623.0 \pm 25.0$	[16]
Bangladesh	$5.34 \pm 0.38$	$10.07 \pm 0.83$	$430.0 \pm 35.5$	[14]
Nigeria	$3.75 \pm 0.69$	$7.86 \pm 1.72$	$45.46 \pm 3.57$	[27]
Indonesia	16.951	1.427	45.036	[18]
Saudi	$7.25 \pm 0.54$	$7.78 \pm 0.63$	$471.40 \pm 11.33$	[21]
Ethiopia	$5.22 \pm 3.26$	$8.29 \pm 6.13$	$552.47 \pm 78.65$	This study

These findings are consistent with the body of research that shows tea drinking is safe in terms of cancer risk [30–32]. It's crucial to remember that this result only applies to the circumstances and settings this study looked at. It might take further investigation and continuous observation to fully comprehend the wider health effects of tea consumption.

## CONCLUSIONS

In Ethiopia's western region, the Ilubabour Zone, tea is a prominent and beneficial plant that is radioactively exposed. The goal of this study was to assess the intrinsic radioactivity of ten tea samples collected from Gumero tea plantations, which produce the most popular and commonly consumed tea. We found correlations between activities of radioactive elements ( $^{40}\text{K}$ ,  $^{238}\text{U}$ , and  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ), present in the tea leaves, and the increased lifetime cancer risk.

According to this study, drinking tea does not enhance one's lifelong cancer risk. As a result, the study's conclusions are comforting because they show no evidence of a lifelong cancer risk increase linked to tea use. The findings indicate that, for this analysis, tea can be regarded as a safe beverage about cancer risk.

Based on the findings of this study, consumers should feel confident in maintaining their tea-drinking habits.

*Acknowledgment:* We are deeply grateful to the Ethiopian Radiation and Protection Agency for providing us with the low background counting laboratory and its research facilities. The corresponding author acknowledges the financial support provided by the Department of Physics at Dire Dawa University to finish this research project on schedule.

*Declaration of competing interests:* The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## REFERENCES

1. ABAS, E., B. SITOTAW, E. MENGISTU, Evaluation of radionuclides specific activity of groundwater resources. A case of Dire Dawa city, Ethiopia, *Romanian J. Biophys.*, 2021, **31**(1), 1–11.
2. AHMAD, S., M.S. CHAUDHARY, A. MANNAN, I.H. QURESHI, Trace element evaluation of rice and husk by INAA, *J. Radioanal. Chem.*, 1983, **78**, 375–384.
3. ASHEBIR, N.C., B.S. GOSHU, E. MENGISTU, Assessment of natural radioactivity in soil samples and tea leaves at Gumero tea farm, Ethiopia, *Romanian J. Biophys.*, 2020, **32**(2), 103–119.
4. AYALEW, D., B. SITOTAW, E. MENGISTU, Assessment of natural radioactivity levels in the soil of Dire Dawa city, Ethiopia, *Romanian J. Biophys.*, 2019, **29**(4), 113–122.
5. AYALEW, D., B. SITOTAW, E. MENGISTU, Evaluation of dose rate and hazard from the background radiation of Dire Dawa city, Ethiopia, *Romanian J. Biophys.*, 2020, **30**(1), 23–32.
6. BHATTI, T.M., K. MALIK, Phosphate fertilizers a potential source for uranium recovery as by-product, *Technical Report No. PAEC/NIBGE-2*, National Institute for Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering (NIBGE), Faisalabad, Pakistan, 1994.
7. BERETKA, J., P.J. MATHEW, Natural radioactivity of Australian building materials, industrial wastes and by-products, *Health Physics*, 1985, **48**, 87–95, <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004032-198501000-00007>.
8. DA SILVA, R.C., J.M. LOPES, L.B. SILVA, A.M. DOMINGUES, C.M. BARBOSA, C. DA SILVA PINHEIRO, L.F. DA SILVA, A.X. DA SILVA, Radiological evaluation of Ra-226, Ra-228, and K-40 in tea samples: a comparative study of effective dose and cancer risk, *Proceedings of the ISD 2019*, October 7 to 11th, 2019. Zacatecas, Zac. Mexico.
9. FERDOUS, J., M.N. NIPA, A.K.M.R. RAHMAN, Assessment of radionuclide concentrations in tea samples cultivated in Chittagong Region, Bangladesh, *Int. J. Life Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **11**, 20–30.
10. GARSEL, K., Risk assessment of baseline outdoor gamma dose rate levels study of natural radiation sources in Bursa, Turkey, *Radiation Protection Dosimetry*, 2010, **2**(4), 324–331.
11. HARB, S., Measurement of the radioactivity of  $^{238}\text{U}$ ,  $^{226}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{210}\text{Pb}$ ,  $^{228}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{232}\text{Th}$ ,  $^{228}\text{Ra}$ ,  $^{137}\text{Cs}$ , and  $^{40}\text{K}$  in tea using gamma-spectrometry, *Journal of Radioanalytical and Nuclear Chemistry*, 2007, **274**(1), 63–66.
12. ICRP, International Commission on Radiological Protection, Compendium of Dose Coefficients, *ICRP Publication 60*, 2012, p. 119.
13. ICRP, Conversion Coefficients for use in Radiological Protection against External Radiation, *ICRP Publication 74*, Ann. ICRP, 1996, **26**(3–4).
14. KOCH, K.R., M.A.B. POUGET, S. DE VILLIERS, Determination of aluminum levels in tea and coffee by inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometry and graphite furnace atomic absorption spectrometry, *The Analyst*, 1989, **114**, 911–920.
15. KOJIMA, I, T. UCHIDA, C. IIDA, Pressurized microwave digestion of biological samples for metal determination, *Anal. Sci.*, 1988, **4**, 211–214.
16. LASHEEN, Y.F., N.S. AWWAD, A. EL-KHALAFAWY, A.A. ABDEL-RASSOUL, Annual effective dose and concentration levels of heavy metals in different types of tea in Egypt, *International Journal of Physical Sciences*, 2008, **3**(5), 112–119.
17. MANICKUM, C.K., A.A. VERBEEK, Determination of aluminum, barium, magnesium, and manganese in tea leaf by slurry nebulization inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry, *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.*, 1994, **9**, 227–235.

18. MURNIASIH, S., D.S. PRABASIWI, S. SUKIRNO, Assessment of radiological hazards in soil, water and plants around coal power plant, *Atom Indonesia*, 2022, **48**(2), 137 – 145.
19. PRESTON, D.L., E. RON, S. TOKUOKA, S. FUNAMOTO, N. NISHI, M. SODA, *et al.*, Solid cancer incidence in atomic bomb survivors, 1958–1998, *Radiat. Res.*, 2007, **168**(1), 1–64, Epub 2007/08/29, 19. pmid:17722996.
20. SALEH, N.S, Proton-induced X-ray emission analysis of tea leaves, *J. Radioanal. Chem.*, 1982, **74**, 191–196.
21. SAUDI, H.A., H.T. ABDELKADER, S.A.M. ISSA, H.M. DIAB, G.A. ALHARSHAN, M.A.M. UOSIF, I.I. BASHTER, A. ENE, M.E. GHAZALY, H.M.H. ZAKALY, An in-depth examination of the natural radiation and radioactive dangers associated with regularly used medicinal herbs, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2022, **19**(13), 8124, doi: 10.3390/ijerph19138124. PMID: 35805783; PMCID: PMC9266100.
22. SHEEHY, T., E. CAREY, S. SHARMA, *et al.*, Trends in energy and nutrient supply in Ethiopia: a perspective from FAO food balance sheets, *Nutr. J.*, 2019, **18**, 46, 1–12, <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-019-0471-1>.
23. SISAY, K., G. WAKJIRA, S.H. TAMIRU, Z. MOHAMMEDSANI, Survey of tea (*Camellia Sinensis* L.) insect pests in Southwest Ethiopia, *International Journal of Research Studies in Agricultural Sciences (IJRSAS)*, 2020, **6**(10), 23–29.
24. SOWOLE, O., O.E. OLANIYI, F.R. AMODU, Evaluation of primordial radionuclides in *Ocimum gratissimum* and health risk to the consumers at Ewekoro Southwest of Nigeria, *J. Appl. Sci. Environ. Manage.*, 2020, **24**(2), 367–372.
25. SUBOKURA, M., S. KATO, T. MORITA, S. NOMURA, M. KAMI, K. SAKAIHARA, *et al.*, Assessment of the annual additional effective doses amongst Minamisoma children during the second year after the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant disaster, *PLoS ONE*, 2015, **10**(6), e0129114.
26. SUD, R.G., R. PRASAD, M. BHARGAVA, Comparative determination of Ba, Cu, Fe, Pb, and Zn in tea leaves by slurry sampling electrothermal atomic absorption and liquid sampling inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry, *J. Sci. Food Agric.*, 1995, **67**, 341–349.
27. TADELECH, S., T. TILAHUN, Investigations of natural radioactivity levels and assessment of radiological hazard of tea samples collected from the local market in Ethiopia, *Journal of Nuclear and Particle Physics*, 2020, **10**(1), 9–12.
28. TIAN, Y., L. FAN, H. XUE, X. ZHAO, J. ZHENG, W. SUN, M. YAO, W. DU, Associations between tea-drinking habits and health-related quality of life in Chinese adults: a mediation analysis based on sleep quality, *Int. Health.*, 2023, **110**, 1–11.
29. UNSCEAR, Annex A: Levels and effects of radiation exposure due to the nuclear accident after the 2011 Great Japan earthquake and tsunami, *UNSCEAR 2013 Report: Sources, Effects, and Risks of Ionizing Radiation*, New York, United Nations, 2014.
30. WANG, C.F, C.H. KE, J.Y. YANG, Determination of trace elements in drinking tea by various analytical techniques, *J. Radioanal. Chem.*, 1993, **5**, 173–195.
31. WORLD HEALTH ORGANIZATION, *Health Risk Assessment from the Nuclear Accident After the 2011 Great East Japan Earthquake and Tsunami, Based on a Preliminary Dose Estimation*, WHO, IEWG, 2013.
32. ZHAO, L.G., Z.Y. LI, G.S. FENG, X.W. JI, Y.T. TAN, H.L. LI, M.J. GUNTER Y.B. XIANG, Tea drinking and risk of cancer incidence: A meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies and evidence evaluation, *Adv. Nutr.*, 2022, **12**(2), 402–412, doi: 10.1093/advances/nmaa117. PMID: 33002099; PMCID: PMC8009746.
33. \*\*\**Cancer Risk Coefficients for Environmental Exposure to Radionuclides*, United States Environmental Protection Agency EPA 402-R-99-001, 1999.